DOCUMENT RESUME

D 153 688	95	JC 780 247
ITLE	Fall 1975 Entering Students Not Continuing in the Same Community Colleges in Spring 1978. Student Flow Project, Report No. 40.	
NSTITUTION	Hawaii Univ., Honolulu. Community Coll. System.	
PONS AGENCY	Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.	
UB DATE	May 78	
OTE	30p.; Tables consisting of computer printouts may reproduce poorly due to small size of type	
DRS PRICE	MF-$0.83 HC-$2.06 Plus Postage.	
ESCRIPTORS	College Majors; Community Colleges; *Dropouts; Employment Patterns; *Followup Studies; *Junior Colleges; Participant Satisfaction; Questionnaires; Student Characteristics; Surveys; Transfer Students	
DENTIFIERS	Hawaii	

BSTRACT

As the fifth and last survey of fall 1975 entering tudents in Hawaii community colleges who discontinued their nrollment, this report summarizes questionnaire responses of 159 48% of sample reporting) students who enrolled through fall 1977 but id not enroll in spring 1978. The survey elicited their reasons for on-continuance, spring 1978 activities, and future plans, and rovided them an opportunity to evaluate their college experiences. urvey results indicated: (1) the main reasons for non-continuance ere transfer to another college (31%), personal considerations 15%), and unavailability of desired classes (12%); (2) 61% of on-continuers were working during spring 1978, while 30% were ttending other schools; (3) the majority of working respondents were n sales/clerical or service positions not related to their college ajors; (4) 58% planned to continue their education in fall 1978; and 5) respondents expressed general satisfaction with their college xperiences. Findings were compared with those from spring 1976, fall 976, spring 1977, and fall 1977 surveys and were seen to differ ittle from previous survey results. The findings and student haracteristics data are reported by campus and for the community ollege total. (TR)

****
Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.
****

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Office of the Chancellor for Community Colleges
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) AND USERS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

FALL 1975 ENTERING STUDENTS

NOT CONTINUING IN THE SAME COMMUNITY COLLEGES IN SPRING 1978

Report No. 40

Student Flow Project

JC 780 247

May 1978

Office of the Chancellor for Community Colleges
Educational Services Division
University of Hawaii

The activity which is the subject of this report was supported in part by the U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the U.S. Office of Education, and no official endorsement by the U.S. Office of Education should be inferred.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
A.	Introduction	1
	1. Purposes and Analysis of the Survey	1
	2. Administration of the Questionnaire	2
B.	The Returns	2
	1. Rate of Return	2
	2. Representativeness of Returns	3
C.	Findings: Community College Total	4
	1. Main Reasons for Not Continuing in Spring 1978	4
	2. Fall 1977 Work Status of Respondents	5
	3. Current (Spring 1978) Activities of Respondents	6
	4. Future Educational Plans	10
	5. Evaluation of Community College Experience	11
D.	Summary and Conclusion	13
APPENDIX A	The Questionnaire	14
A-1 to A-12	Data for Community College Total	15

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
1.	Returns, by Campus	2
2.	Representativeness Data: Comparison of Respondents and Non-Respondents, by Campus and Characteristics	3
3.	Reasons for Not Continuing Enrollment, by Program	4
4.	Fall 1977 Work Experiences of Respondents, by Program	5
5.	Current Activities of Respondents, by Program	6
6.	Respondents Who Are Working: Occupations, by Program	7
7.	Respondents Who Are Working: Full-/Part-Time, by Program .	8
8.	Respondents Who Are Working: Relatedness of Occupation and Community College Studies, by Program	8
9.	Respondents Who Are Attending Another School: School Selected, by Program	9
10.	Respondents Who Are Attending Another School: Transfer of Credits, by Program	10
11.	Future Educational Plans, by Program	10
FIGURE 1	Average Evaluation Ratings	12

A. Introduction

This is a report on the fifth and final survey of non-continuing students (Fall 1975 Entering Group, only), administered this past February. Questionnaires were sent to 328 non-continuers. These students had originally enrolled in Fall 1975 as new or transfer students and continued their enrollment through the Fall 1977 semester, but preliminary registration records indicated that they had not re-enrolled for the current semester.

1. Purposes and Analysis of the Survey

We had three basic purposes for surveying the 328 students who did not continue their education in Spring 1978 although they had been in attendance the previous semester:

a. to obtain data on reasons for non-continuance during their sixth semester;

b. to get information on the Spring 1978 activities of non-continuing students and on their future educational plans;

c. to provide non-continuing students with an opportunity to evaluate their community college experience and to offer suggestions for improving their colleges.

Our analysis for this report will include:

a. data on representativeness for the total and for each campus by comparing respondents and non-respondents, by sex, age, marital status, high school background, entry status, educational objective, program, cumulative grade point average and cumulative credits earned.

b. analyses of responses to each question for the total only because of the relatively small number of respondents at the colleges and for five of the seven program clusters.

Statistical tests of significance, using the SPSS, were applied to indicate characteristics on which respondents differ significantly in their responses. However, the results of these tests were not analyzed because of the limited number of respondents. (The data are available upon request.)

Included in Appendices A-1 through A-12 are tables summarizing responses to each question, by campus. These aid the reader to perceive quickly the range of reactions among non-continuing students from the seven colleges.

Computer reports for each of the community colleges and for the total were prepared, by characteristics, where there was representativeness. The complete set of computer reports for a campus was sent to its Dean of Students regardless of the number of respondents.

2. Administration of the Questionnaire

Questionnaires were mailed, first class, to 328 non-continuing students on February 15, 1978. (See Appendix A for a copy of the questionnaire.) Also included were stamped return envelopes and individual campus letters, signed by the Provost, urging the student to respond.

After a two-week period, a list of non-respondents was sent to each campus, so telephone follow-up calls could be made.

B. The Returns

1. Rate of Return

The data in Table 1 indicate that during the two-week period after mailing, 128 questionnaires were received, representing a 39 percent return. After the campus follow-up phone calls, an additional 31 questionnaires were completed, for *a total return of 159 students or 48 percent.*[1] Undeliverable questionnaires totaled 4 or 1 percent.

TABLE 1
RETURNS, BY CAMPUS[a]
SPRING 1978 SURVEY OF NON-CONTINUING STUDENTS

Campus	Non-Cont. Students	Feb. 15 - March 8 No.	Feb. 15 - March 8 %	March 9 - March 28 No.	March 9 - March 28 %	Total Returns No.	Total Returns %	Post Office Returns No.	Post Office Returns %
Total	328	128	39%	31	9%	159	48%	4	1%
Hawaii CC	10	1	10%	—	—	1	10%	—	—
Honolulu CC	71	25	35%	6	9%	31	44%	—	—
Kapiolani CC	78	30	39%	10	13%	40	51%	1	1%
Kauai CC	12	5	42%	—	—	5	42%	—	—
Leeward CC	120	55	46%	14	12%	69	58%	3	3%
Maui CC	19	4	21%	—	—	4	21%	—	—
Windward CC	18	8	44%	1	6%	9	50%	—	—

[a]After the deadline for receipt of questionnaires, an additional 16 forms were received.

[1]The Spring 1976 Non-Continuing Survey had a return of 36 percent; Fall 1976, 43 percent; Spring 1977, 47 percent; Fall 1977, 43 percent. (Student Flow Project, Reports No. 9, 17, 25 and 33.)

The telephone follow-up calls by each of the community colleges were responsible for raising the rate of return from 39 to 48 percent. Kapiolani and Leeward were especially successful in their follow-up efforts.

Noteworthy are the differences among campuses in their rates of return: Leeward Community College had 58 percent of its non-continuing students responding while Hawaii had 10 percent (1 out of 10). The remaining colleges had rates between these two extremes.

2. Representativeness of Returns

Respondents and non-respondents were compared on various characteristics to obtain representativeness data. The results are summarized in Table 2 which indicates that:

a. *respondents for the total and for each of the seven community colleges were representative of the non-continuing population, by age, marital status, high school background and entry status.*

b. *respondents for Hawaii, Leeward, Maui and Windward community colleges were representative of their non-continuing groups on all characteristics.*

TABLE 2
REPRESENTATIVENESS DATA: COMPARISON OF RESPONDENTS AND NON-RESPONDENTS, BY CAMPUS AND CHARACTERISTICS
SPRING 1978 SURVEY OF NON-CONTINUING STUDENTS

Note: Based on chi-square analysis, levels of significance are denoted thus: * .05 level, ** .01 level, *** .001 level.

Characteristics	CC Total	Hawaii CC	Honolulu CC	Kapiolani CC	Maui CC	Leeward CC	Maui CC	Windward CC
Sex Age Marital Status	*			**				
High School Backgr. Entry Status Educational Obj.					*			
Program Grade Point Ave. Credits Earned	* *		*		* *			

C. Findings: Community College Total

Data in Table 2 show that *respondents were representative of the total non-continuing population, by age, marital status, high school background and entry status.* We analyzed responses to the various questions in terms of these characteristics. This summary, however, will highlight the responses of students for the total only, because of the limited number of respondents.

1. Main Reasons for Not Continuing in Spring 1978

Students were asked to select one of ten reasons for not continuing their enrollment in Spring 1978. The data, by program, in Table 3 indicate that the most commonly-selected reasons were: (a) transferred (49 students; 31 percent), (b) personal reasons (23 students; 15 percent), and (c) desired class unavailable (19 students; 12 percent). Only 11 percent indicated a preference for work.

TABLE 3

REASONS FOR NOT CONTINUING ENROLLMENT, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

COUNT / ROW PCT	MEAS ACHIEVED GOAL	CHANGED GOAL	TRANSFER DID	CLASSES UNAVAIL	ACADEMIC DIFFICU TO MEET	FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES	PERSONAL REASONS	OTHER	ROW TOTAL
	1	2	3	4	6	9	10	11	12	14	
LIBERAL ARTS 1	7 / 7.8	4 / 4.4	40 / 44.4	11 / 12.2	4 / 4.4	4 / 4.4	1 / 1.1	5 / 5.6	6 / 6.7		90 / 58.4
BUSINESS 2	20 / 29.0	2 / 2.9	2 / 2.9	5 / 7.2	14 / 20.3	10 / 14.5	2 / 2.9	5 / 7.2	9 / 13.0	2 / 2.9	69 / 44.8
HEALTH SERVICES 3	1 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0		2 / 1.3
HOTEL & FOOD SVS 4	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 1.3
PUBLIC SERVICES 5	1 / 16.7	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	3 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 16.7	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 16.7	0 / 0.0	6 / 3.9
TECHNICAL 6	2 / 15.4	0 / 0.0	2 / 15.4	1 / 7.7	0 / 0.0	2 / 15.4	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	3 / 23.1	1 / 7.7	13 / 8.4
OTHER 8	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 11.1	2 / 22.2	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 22.2	0 / 0.0	9 / 5.8
COLUMN TOTAL	17 / 10.9	6 / 3.9	49 / 31.6	19 / 12.3	18 / 11.6	18 / 11.6	3 / 1.9	5 / 3.2	23 / 14.8	3 / 1.9	155 / 100.0

We also analyzed the specifics for "unavailability of desired classes." Twelve of the 19 students who selected this reason indicated that the class hour conflicted with work hours.

2. Fall 1977 Work Status of Respondents

Students were asked what their work status in Fall 1977 had been: (a) 60 students (38 percent) had worked full-time, (b) 64 students (40 percent) had worked part-time and (c) 35 students (22 percent) had not worked. (Table 4)

TABLE 4

FALL 1977 WORK EXPERIENCES OF RESPONDENTS, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

MAJORP	F77WORK: YES --- FULL TIME (1)	YES --- PART TIME (2)	NO (3)	ROW TOTAL
1 LIBERAL ARTS	37 38.5	35 36.5	24 25.0	96 60.4
2 BUSINESS	12 40.0	16 53.3	2 6.7	30 18.9
3 HEALTH SERVICES	2 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 1.3
4 HOTEL & FOOD SVS	0 0.0	2 100.0	0 0.0	2 1.3
5 PUBLIC SERVICES	5 71.4	1 14.3	1 14.3	7 4.4
6 TECHNOLOGY	0 0.0	9 69.2	4 30.8	13 8.2
8 OTHER	4 44.4	1 11.1	4 44.4	9 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL	60 37.7	64 40.3	35 22.0	159 100.0

3. Current (Spring 1978) Activities of Respondents

Slightly over [illegible] percent of the respondents are either working (96 students; 61 percent) or attending another school (48 students; 30 percent). As indicated in Table 5:

a. Great proportions of students in business (87 percent) are working.

b. 47 (49 percent) liberal arts students are working; 45 (47 percent) are attending another college.

c. 5 students (3 percent of respondents) are looking for work.

TABLE 5

CURRENT ACTIVITIES OF RESPONDENTS, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

MAJORP	CURRACT: LOOKING FOR WORK (1)	WORKING (2)	ATTD OTH SCHOOL (3)	OTHER (4)	ROW TOTAL
1 LIBERAL ARTS	2 / 2.1	47 / 49.0	45 / 46.9	2 / 2.1	96 / 60.8
2 BUSINESS	2 / 6.7	26 / 86.7	1 / 3.3	1 / 3.3	30 / 19.0
3 HEALTH SERVICES	0 / 0.0	2 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 1.3
4 HOTEL & FOOD SVS	0 / 0.0	2 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 1.3
5 PUBLIC SERVICES	0 / 0.0	6 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	6 / 3.8
6 TECHNOLOGY	1 / 7.7	8 / 61.5	1 / 7.7	3 / 23.1	13 / 8.2
8 OTHER	0 / 0.0	5 / 55.6	1 / 11.1	3 / 33.3	9 / 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL	5 / 3.2	96 / 60.8	48 / 30.4	9 / 5.7	158 / 100.0

Additional questions were answered by students who are working or who are attending another institution in Spring 1978. Their responses are summarized below.

a. Respondents Who Are Employed (96 students; 61 percent)

Employed students were asked to indicate (1) their title or position, (2) their work status (full- or part-time) and (3) the relationship between their work and community college studies.

Data in Table 6 show that employed students were concentrated in two types of work: clerical/sales occupations (35, 41 percent) and service occupations (27, 32 percent).[2]

TABLE 6

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE WORKING: OCCUPATIONS, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

COUNT / ROW PCT	JOB TITLE: PROF, TEC & MGR (1)	CLERICAL & SALES (2)	SERVICE OCCUP (3)	PROCESS-ING OCCP (4)	MACHINE TRADES (5)	BENCH WORK OCC (6)	STRUCT'L OCCUP (7)	MISC (8)	ROW TOTAL
MAJOR									
LIBERAL ARTS (1)	3 7.5	13 32.5	14 35.0	1 2.5	2 5.0	6 15.0	1 2.5	2 5.0	40 41.7
BUSINESS (2)	3 12.0	18 72.0	3 12.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 4.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	25 26.0
HEALTH SERVICES (3)	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 2.0
HOTEL & FOOD SVS (4)	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 1.0
PUBLIC SERVICE (5)	0 0.0	1 20.0	4 80.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 5.0
TECHNOLOGY (6)	1 14.3	2 28.6	3 42.9	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 14.3	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 7.3
OTHER (8)	3 60.0	1 20.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 20.0	5 5.2
COLUMN TOTAL	10 11.0	35 41.2	27 31.8	1 1.0	2 2.4	8 9.1	1 1.2	3 3.6	85 100.0

[2] Based on U.S. Department of Labor, Dictionary of Occupational Titles, third edition (1965).

Of employed students, 74 percent were working full-time. (Table 7)

TABLE 7

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE WORKING: FULL-/PART-TIME, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

MAJORP	WORKSTAT 1 FULLTIME	2 PARTTIME	ROW TOTAL
1 LIBERAL ARTS	31 / 77.5	9 / 22.5	40 / 48.0
2 BUSINESS	18 / 72.0	7 / 28.0	25 / 28.7
3 HEALTH SERVICES	2 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 2.3
4 HOTEL & FOOD SVS	1 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 1.1
5 PUBLIC SERVICES	5 / 83.3	1 / 16.7	6 / 6.9
6 TECHNOLOGY	3 / 37.5	5 / 62.5	8 / 9.2
8 OTHER	4 / 80.0	1 / 20.0	5 / 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL	64 / 73.6	23 / 26.4	87 / 100.0

Employed students indicated the degree to which their work was related to their college studies: (1) closely related, 22 (27 percent), (2) somewhat related, 23 (28 percent), and (3) not related, 38 (46 percent). See Table 8 for further details.

TABLE 8

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE WORKING: RELATEDNESS OF OCCUPATION AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDIES, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

MAJORP	WORKREL 1 CLOSELY RELATED	2 SOMEWHAT RELATED	3 NOT RELATED	ROW TOTAL
1 LIBERAL ARTS	4 / 10.5	10 / 26.3	24 / 63.2	38 / 45.8
2 BUSINESS	10 / 43.5	9 / 39.1	4 / 17.4	23 / 27.7
3 HEALTH SERVICES	2 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 2.4
4 HOTEL & FOOD SVS	0 / 0.0	1 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 1.2
5 PUBLIC SERVICES	3 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	3 / 50.0	6 / 7.2
6 TECHNOLOGY	2 / 25.0	1 / 12.5	5 / 62.5	8 / 9.6
8 OTHER	1 / 20.0	2 / 40.0	2 / 40.0	5 / 6.0
COLUMN TOTAL	22 / 26.5	23 / 27.7	38 / 45.8	83 / 100.0

b. Respondents Who Are Attending Another School (48 students; 30 percent)

These students were asked to specify: (1) the institution they are attending in Spring 1978, (2) their major field of study, (3) their study status: full- or part-time and (4) the transferability of their community college credits in courses numbered 100 or above if they are attending a four-year college.

Of the 46 students who responded to the question on current institution, 38 (83 percent) are at the University of Hawaii at Manoa, 3 (7 percent) at West Oahu College, 2 (4 percent) at Mainland institutions, 1 each at another community college and the remaining one in a private institution in the State. As expected, many (45) liberal arts majors are attending another college, chiefly Manoa (37). (Table 9)

TABLE 9

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE ATTENDING ANOTHER SCHOOL: SCHOOL SELECTED, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

COUNT / ROW PCT	SCH: HONOLULU C C	LEEWARD C C	MANOA CAMPUS	WEST OAHU	OTH HI-- PRIVATE	MAINLAND INST.	ROW TOTAL
MAJOR	2	5	9	10	12	13	
1 LIBERAL ARTS	1 2.2	1 2.2	37 82.2	3 6.7	1 2.2	2 4.4	45 97.8
2 BUSINESS	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.2
COLUMN TOTAL	1 2.2	1 2.2	38 82.6	3 6.5	1 2.2	2 4.3	46 100.0

Students who are attending another college have a variety of major fields of study. Programs attracting 5 or more students are: general and pre-professional (15); business administration and humanities (5 each).

Most (85 percent) are full-time students.

Many students who are attending four-year colleges were able to transfer their community college credits: 30 (71 percent) were able to transfer all or most credits; 11 (26 percent), most credits; 1, few credits. (Table 10)

TABLE 10

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE ATTENDING ANOTHER SCHOOL: TRANSFER OF CREDITS
BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

MAJGRP	COUNT / ROW PCT	TRANSCR: ALL COURSES 1	MOST COURSES 2	SOME COURSES 3	ROW TOTAL
LIBERAL ARTS	1	29 / 70.7	11 / 26.8	1 / 2.4	41 / 97.6
BUSINESS	2	1 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 2.4
COLUMN TOTAL		30 / 71.4	11 / 26.2	1 / 2.4	42 / 100.0

4. Future Educational Plans

Many students had plans for further education: 91 (58 percent) indicated they planned to continue their education in Fall 1978 and 15 (10 percent) at some time after that. Seventeen students, 11 percent, said that they had no further educational plans and 22 percent was uncertain.

TABLE 11

FUTURE EDUCATIONAL PLANS, BY PROGRAM
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

MAJGRP	COUNT / ROW PCT	FTRPLAN: YES 1	NO 2	NO, APT NEXT SEM 3	DON'T KNOW 4	ROW TOTAL
LIBERAL ARTS	1	66 / 68.8	4 / 4.2	6 / 6.3	20 / 20.8	96 / 61.1
BUSINESS	2	9 / 32.1	8 / 28.6	4 / 14.3	7 / 25.0	28 / 17.8
HEALTH SERVICES	3	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	2 / 100.0	2 / 1.3
HOTEL & FOOD SVS	4	0 / 0.0	1 / 50.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 50.0	2 / 1.3
PUBLIC SERVICE	5	4 / 57.1	1 / 14.3	2 / 28.6	0 / 0.0	7 / 4.5
TECHNOLOGY	6	7 / 38.9	3 / 23.1	2 / 15.4	3 / 23.1	13 / 8.3
OTHER	8	7 / 77.8	0 / 0.0	1 / 11.1	1 / 11.1	9 / 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL		91 / 58.0	17 / 10.8	15 / 9.6	34 / 21.7	157 / 100.0

Students with Fall 1978 educational plans were asked to specify their institution. Of the 81 who responded to this item, 40 (49 percent) chose Manoa; 11 (14 percent), Leeward; 8 (10 percent), Kapiolani and the remaining, other public and private institutions in the State or on the Mainland. Both Manoa and Leeward were chosen most often by liberal arts students. Table A-11 indicates that 25 (31 percent of the 81 students) intend to return to their campus of entry.

5. Evaluation of Community College Experience

Students evaluated eight items on a five-point scale: "1, very satisfied" to "5, not satisfied." The results are summarized in Figure 1 which presents the average rating for each item for the community college total.

The best average ratings were for: "friendship with other students" (2.1), "faculty/student relations" (2.3), "instruction" (2.3) and "total experience at college" (2.3). The poorest average rating (2.9) was for "variety of courses you could take." The relatively small range in ratings should be noted.

In view of campus interest in the evaluations of their non-continuing students, we summarized responses on the five-point scale, by campus. The evaluations on each item, by campus, are included in Appendix A-12 which also provides the results of chi-square analysis.

FIGURE 1

AVERAGE EVALUATION RATINGS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

Note: Students rated each item on a five-point scale, with "1" representing the most favorable rating. The four items receiving the best evaluation are circled.


1. Instruction 2.3
2. Faculty/student relations 2.3
3. Variety of courses you could take 2.9
4. Broadening your educational background 2.5
5. Acquiring job skills and knowledge for a job 2.8
6. Developing personal interests 2.5
7. Intellectual environment 2.6
8. Counseling services 2.8
9. Friendship with other students 2.1
10. Facilities/equipment 2.7
11. Total experience at college 2.3

D. Summary and Conclusion

This report is a brief summarization of the major findings of the fifth and last survey of the Fall 1975 entering students who left their campus of entry without having graduated. In February, 1978, 328 questionnaires were sent to these non-continuers. A total of 159 students, 48 percent, responded. Respondents and non-respondents were not significantly different on six characteristics. Among the major findings:

a. The most often cited reason for non-continuance (from among 10 reasons) was transfer to another college (31 percent). This was also true in Fall 1977 when 47 percent of the respondents also indicated transfer as the reason for non-continuance.

b. The most often cited "present activity" was working, 41 percent, followed by "attending another school," 30 percent. (Comparable figures in Fall 1977 were 42 percent, working; 47 percent, transfer to another school.)

c. Of the 48 non-continuers who were enrolled at another UH institution, 83 percent were attending Manoa, 35 percent were general and pre-professional majors, 74 percent were full-time students and 71 percent indicated all of their credits transferred (an additional 26 percent indicated almost all of their credits transferred).

d. The majority of those who were working indicated that they were in sales/clerical or service occupations and their work was not related to their majors.

e. Over half (58 percent) of the non-continuers indicated they planned to continue their education in Fall 1978.

f. The respondents expressed general satisfaction with their community college experience (2.3 on a 5.0 scale: 1.0, "very satisfied" and 5.0, "very dissatisfied").

The findings do not differ greatly from those of the previous semesters. Depending upon resources available, a final report summarizing the findings of the surveys administered during the past five semesters will be prepared.

APPENDIX A

SURVEY OF NON-CONTINUING STUDENTS
SPRING 1978

I. What is the main reason why you did not register this semester? (check one)

____01. achieved goal
____02. changed educational/vocational goals
____03. transferred to another college
____04. found desired classes unavailable; specify by checking one of the following:
____05. class closed; name of class _____
____06. class hour conflicted with work hour
____07. college doesn't offer course; name of course _____
____08. academic difficulties
____09. preferred to work
____10. have financial problems; check if conference with advisor is desired. ____
____11. unable to attend; GI Bill exhausted
____12. have personal reasons (marriage, children, health)
____13. other; please specify: _____

II. During the Fall 1977 semester, did you work? (Check one)

____1. yes--full-time
____2. yes--part-time
____3. no

III. What are you doing now? (check the one which best describes present activity)

____1. looking for work; check if conference is desired: ____
____2. working a. give title or position _____
b. check one: ____1. full time; ____2. part time
c. Is your work related to your community college studies?
check one: ____1. closely related
____2. somewhat related
____3. not related

____3. attending another school
a. specify school: _____
b. major field of study: _____
c. check one: ____1. full time; ____2. part time
d. If attending a four-year college, were you able to transfer credits for community college courses numbered 100 or above:
____1. all courses
____2. most courses
____3. some courses
____4. few courses
} List all courses which were not transferable: _____

____4. other; please specify: _____

IV. Do you plan to continue your schooling in Fall 1978? (check one)

____1. Yes; specify institution _____
____2. No
____3. No, but hope to sometime after Fall 1978
____4. Don't know

V. Please circle the number which best indicates your evaluation of your community college experience:

	Very satisfied		Satisfied	Not satisfied	
01. Instruction	1	2	3	4	5
02. Faculty/student relations	1	2	3	4	5
03. Variety of courses you could take	1	2	3	4	5
04. Broadening your educational background	1	2	3	4	5
05. Acquiring job skills and knowledge for a job	1	2	3	4	5
06. Developing personal interests	1	2	3	4	5
07. Intellectual environment	1	2	3	4	5
08. Counseling services	1	2	3	4	5
09. Friendship with other students	1	2	3	4	5
10. Facilities/equipment	1	2	3	4	5
11. Total experience at college	1	2	3	4	5

VI. What are your suggestions for improving this college? (Please use other side.)

Mahalo!

APPENDIX A-1

REASONS FOR NOT CONTINUING ENROLLMENT, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

REAS

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	ACHIEVED GOAL 1	CHANGED GOAL 2	TRANSFER REG 3	CLASSES UNAVAIL 4	ACADEMIC DIFFICU 5	PREFER TO WORK 6	FINANCIAL PROBLEM 10	GI BILL EXHAUSTD 11	PERSONAL REASONS 12	OTHER 13	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	4 13.3	0 0.0	8 26.7	3 10.0	0 0.0	4 13.3	1 3.3	0 0.0	7 23.3	3 10.0	30 16.9
KAPIOLANI C C	41	10 25.0	1 2.5	10 25.0	1 2.5	8 20.0	5 12.5	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 12.5	0 0.0	40 22.2
LEEWARD C C	42	3 4.3	3 4.3	27 38.6	9 12.9	3 4.3	8 11.4	4 5.7	1 1.4	6 8.6	6 8.6	70 44.0
KAUAI C C	43	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 40.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 60.0	0 0.0	5 3.1
MAUI C C	44	0 0.0	1 25.0	2 50.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 25.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 2.5
HAWAII C C	45	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 0.6
WINDWARD C C	46	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 22.2	4 44.4	1 0.0	1 11.1	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 22.2	0 0.0	9 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL		17 10.7	5 3.1	49 30.8	19 11.9	11 6.9	18 11.3	5 3.1	3 1.9	23 14.5	9 5.7	159 100.0

RFAS4DTL

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	CLASS CLOSED 4	CONFLICT W/ WORK 6	CRSE NOT OFFERED 7	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	1 33.3	1 33.3	1 33.3	3 16.7
KAPIOLANI C C	41	0 0.0	1 100.0	0 0.0	1 5.6
LEEWARD C C	42	1 12.5	7 87.5	0 0.0	8 44.4
KAUAI C C	43	2 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 11.1
WINDWARD C C	48	0 0.0	3 75.0	1 25.0	4 22.2
COLUMN TOTAL		4 22.2	12 66.7	2 11.1	18 100.0

APPENDIX A-2

FALL 1977 WORK EXPERIENCE, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	F77WORK COUNT / ROW PCT	YES --- FULLTIME 1	YES --- PARTTIME 2	NO 3	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	9 30.0	16 53.3	5 16.7	30 18.9
KAPIOLANI C C	41	14 35.0	23 57.5	3 7.5	40 25.2
LEEWARD C C	42	26 37.1	23 32.9	21 30.0	70 44.0
KAUAI C C	43	3 60.0	2 40.0	0 0.0	5 3.1
MAUI C C	44	1 25.0	0 0.0	3 75.0	4 2.5
HAWAII C C	45	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 0.6
WINDWARD C C	46	6 66.7	0 0.0	3 33.3	9 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL		60 37.7	64 40.3	35 22.0	159 100.0

APPENDIX A-3

CURRENT ACTIVITIES OF NON-CONTINUING STUDENTS, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	CURRACT COUNT / ROW PCT	LOOKING FOR WORK 1	WORKING 2	ATTD OTH SCHOOL 3	OTHER 4	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	1 3.3	19 63.3	6 20.0	4 13.3	30 19.0
KAPIOLANI C C	41	2 5.0	27 67.5	11 27.5	0 0.0	40 25.3
LEEWARD C C	42	2 2.9	35 50.7	28 40.6	4 5.8	69 43.7
KAUAI C C	43	0 0.0	5 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 3.2
MAUI C C	44	0 0.0	2 50.0	2 50.0	0 0.0	4 2.5
HAWAII C C	45	0 0.0	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 0.6
WINDWARD C C	46	0 0.0	7 77.8	1 11.1	1 11.1	9 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL		5 3.2	96 60.8	48 30.4	9 5.7	158 100.0

APPENDIX A-4

OCCUPATIONS OF EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	JOBTITLE: PROF.,TEC & MGR (1)	CLERICAL & SALES (2)	SERVICE OCCUP (3)	PROCESSING OCCP (5)	MACHINE TRADES (6)	BENCH WORK OCC (7)	STRUCT'L OCCUP (8)	MISC (9)	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	3	3	7	0	0	1	1	0	15
		20.0	20.0	46.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	17.6
KAPIOLANI C C	41	2	15	7	0	0	1	0	1	26
		7.7	57.7	26.9	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	3.8	30.6
LEEWARD C C	42	2	10	12	1	2	4	0	1	32
		6.3	31.3	37.5	3.1	6.3	12.5	0.0	3.1	37.6
KAUAI C C	43	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
		40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9
MAUI C C	44	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
		0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4
WINDWARD C C	46	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
		20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	5.9
	COLUMN TOTAL	10	35	27	1	2	6	1	3	85
		11.8	41.2	31.8	1.2	2.4	7.1	1.2	3.5	100.0

APPENDIX A-5

FULL-/PART-TIME WORK OF EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	WORKSTAT 1 FULLTIME	2 PARTTIME	ROW TOTAL
40 HONOLULU C C	11 / 57.9	8 / 42.1	19 / 21.8
41 KAPIOLANI C C	18 / 72.0	7 / 28.0	25 / 28.7
42 LEEWARD C C	25 / 80.6	6 / 19.4	31 / 35.6
43 KAUAI C C	4 / 80.0	1 / 20.0	5 / 5.7
44 MAUI C C	1 / 100.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 1.1
46 WINDWARD C C	5 / 83.3	1 / 16.7	6 / 6.9
COLUMN TOTAL	64 / 73.6	23 / 26.4	87 / 100.0

APPENDIX A-6

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE WORKING: RELATEDNESS OF OCCUPATION AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDIES
BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	WORKREL 1 CLOSELY RELATED	2 SOMEWHAT RELATED	3 NOT RELATED	ROW TOTAL
40 HONOLULU C C	5 / 27.8	3 / 16.7	10 / 55.6	18 / 21.7
41 KAPIOLANI C C	10 / 41.7	6 / 25.0	8 / 33.3	24 / 28.9
42 LEEWARD C C	3 / 10.0	10 / 33.3	17 / 56.7	30 / 36.1
43 KAUAI C C	2 / 40.0	1 / 20.0	2 / 40.0	5 / 6.0
44 MAUI C C	0 / 0.0	0 / 0.0	1 / 100.0	1 / 1.2
46 WINDWARD C C	2 / 40.0	3 / 60.0	0 / 0.0	5 / 6.0
COLUMN TOTAL	22 / 26.5	23 / 27.7	38 / 45.8	83 / 100.0

APPENDIX A-7

RESIDENTS ATTENDING ANOTHER SCHOOL: SCHOOLS SELECTED BY CAMPUS COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	HONOLULU C C (2)	LEEWARD C C (5)	MANOA CAMPUS (9)	WEST OAHU (10)	OTH HI — PRIVATE (12)	MAINLAND INST. (13)	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	0	1	3	1	0	1	6
		0.0	16.7	50.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	13.6
KAPIOLANI C C	41	1	0	10	0	0	0	11
		9.1	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
LEEWARD C C	42	0	0	22	2	1	1	26
		0.0	0.0	84.6	7.7	3.8	3.8	59.1
MAUI C C	44	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5
WINDWARD C C	46	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2
COLUMN TOTAL		1	1	38	3	1	2	44
		2.2	2.2	82.6	6.5	2.2	4.3	100.0

APPENDIX A-8

RESPONDENTS ATTENDING ANOTHER SCHOOL: FULL-/PART-TIME
BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

SCHSTAT

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	FULLTIME 1	PARTTIME 2	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	3 60.0	2 40.0	5 10.9
KAPIOLANI C C	41	10 90.9	1 9.1	11 23.9
LEEWARD C C	42	23 85.2	4 14.8	27 58.7
MAUI C C	44	2 100.0	0 0.0	2 4.3
WINDWARD C C	46	1 100.0	0 0.0	1 2.2
	COLUMN TOTAL	39 84.8	7 15.2	46 100.0

APPENDIX A-9

RESPONDENTS ATTENDING ANOTHER SCHOOL: TRANSFER OF CREDITS
BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

TRANSCR

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	ALL COURSES 1	MOST COURSES 2	SOME COURSES 3	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	3 75.0	1 25.0	0 0.0	4 9.5
KAPIOLANI C C	41	8 72.7	3 27.3	0 0.0	11 26.2
LEEWARD C C	42	17 70.8	6 25.0	1 4.2	24 57.1
MAUI C C	44	1 50.0	1 50.0	0 0.0	2 4.8
WINDWARD C C	46	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.4
	COLUMN TOTAL	30 71.4	11 26.2	1 2.4	42 100.0

APPENDIX A-10

FUTURE EDUCATIONAL PLANS OF RESPONDENTS, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

CAMPUS	F78PLAN YES 1	NO 2	NO, AFT NEXT SEM 3	DON'T KNOW 4	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C 40	18 58.1	4 13.3	3 10.0	7 23.3	30 19.1
KAPIOLANI C C 41	18 50.0	8 21.1	2 5.3	9 23.7	36 24.2
LEEWARD C C 42	42 60.0	4 5.7	8 11.4	16 22.9	70 44.6
KAUAI C C 43	4 80.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 20.0	5 3.2
MAUI C C 44	3 75.0	0 0.0	1 25.0	0 0.0	4 2.5
HAWAII C C 45	0 0.0	1 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 0.6
WINDWARD C C 46	7 77.8	0 0.0	1 11.1	1 11.1	9 5.7
COLUMN TOTAL	91 58.0	17 10.8	15 9.6	34 21.7	157 100.0

APPENDIX A-11

SCHOOLS SELECTED BY STUDENTS WITH FALL 1978 EDUCATIONAL PLANS, BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

Campus	Total No.	A Return to Same Campus	B Other Oahu Comm. Coll.	C Other N. I. Comm. Coll.	D U. H. at Manoa	E Hilo Campus	F West Oahu College	G Other Hawaii 4-Yr. Coll.	H Other Hawaii Private Inst.	I Mainland Inst.
Total	81	25	6		40		3	2	1	4
Hawaii Community College										
Honolulu Community College	14	4	3		5		1			1
Kapiolani Community College	16	5			10			1		
Kauai Community College	3	3								
Leeward Community College	38	9	3		22		2		1	1
Maui Community College	3				2					1
Windward Community College	7	4			1			1		1

APPENDIX A-12

EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE EXPERIENCE
BY CAMPUS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL

EVAL 1 INSTRUCTION

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	6	10	13	0	29
		20.7	34.5	44.8	0.0	19.0
KAPIOLANI C C	41	2	10	25	1	38
		5.3	26.3	65.8	2.6	24.8
LEEWARD C C	42	21	17	28	5	67
		31.3	25.4	38.8	7.5	43.8
KAUAI C C	43	1	1	2	1	5
		20.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	0	3	1	0	4
		0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	1	0	1
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	5	3	1	0	9
		55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0	5.9
COLUMN TOTAL		35	44	67	7	153
		22.9	28.8	43.8	4.6	100.0

EVAL 2 FACULTY/STUDENT RELATIONS

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	8	8	13	0	0	29
		27.6	27.6	44.8	0.0	0.0	19.0
KAPIOLANI C C	41	1	9	20	7	1	38
		2.6	23.7	52.6	18.4	2.6	24.8
LEEWARD C C	42	22	20	21	4	0	67
		32.8	29.9	31.3	6.0	0.0	43.8
KAUAI C C	43	0	2	2	1	0	5
		0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	3	1	0	0	0	4
		75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	1	0	0	1
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	5	3	1	0	0	9
		55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0	0.0	5.9
COLUMN TOTAL		39	43	58	12	1	153
		25.8	28.1	37.9	7.8	0.7	100.0

EVAL3 VARIETY OF COURSES

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	1 VERY SATISFIED	2	3 SATISFIED	4	5 NOT SATISFIED	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	3	1	16	4	4	28
		10.7	3.6	57.1	14.3	14.3	18.5
KAPIOLANI C C	41	0	8	16	12	2	38
		0.0	21.1	42.1	31.6	5.3	25.2
LEEWARD C C	42	12	15	28	13	1	66
		18.2	22.7	37.5	19.7	1.5	43.7
KAUAI C C	43	0	0	2	3	0	5
		0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	0	0	2	2	0	4
		0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	0	0	1	1
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	1	3	4	1	0	9
		11.1	33.3	44.4	11.1	0.0	6.0
COLUMN TOTAL		16	27	69	35	8	151
		10.6	17.9	43.0	23.2	5.3	100.0

EVAL4 BROADENING EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	1 VERY SATISFIED	2	3 SATISFIED	4	5 NOT SATISFIED	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	4	10	13	1	0	28
		14.3	35.7	46.4	3.6	0.0	18.4
KAPIOLANI C C	41	0	10	20	7	1	38
		0.0	26.3	52.6	18.4	2.6	25.0
LEEWARD C C	42	20	17	26	3	1	67
		29.9	25.4	38.8	4.5	1.5	44.1
KAUAI C C	43	0	1	1	2	1	5
		0.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	0	2	1	1	0	4
		0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	0	1	0	1
		0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	2	5	2	0	0	9
		22.2	55.6	22.2	0.0	0.0	5.9
COLUMN TOTAL		26	45	63	15	3	152
		17.1	29.6	41.4	9.9	2.0	100.0

EVAL5 ACQUIRING JOB SKILLS/KNOWLEDGE

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	1 VERY SATISFIED	2	3 SATISFIED	4	5 NOT SATISFIED	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	2	8	12	3	0	25
		8.0	32.0	48.0	12.0	0.0	17.6
KAPIOLANI C C	41	4	6	21	5	2	38
		10.5	15.8	55.3	13.2	5.3	26.8
LEEWARD C C	42	7	14	33	7	2	63
		11.1	22.2	52.4	11.1	3.2	44.4
KAUAI C C	43	0	0	3	1	1	5
		0.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	3.5
MAUI C C	44	0	0	3	0	0	3
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.1
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	0	1	0	1
		0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	1	1	5	0	0	7
		14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0	4.9
COLUMN TOTAL		14	29	77	17	5	142
		9.9	20.4	54.2	12.0	3.5	100.0

EVAL6 DEVELOPING PERSONAL INTERESTS

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	4	9	14	1	0	28
		14.3	32.1	50.0	3.6	0.0	16.5
KAPIOLANI C C	41	0	9	25	3	1	38
		0.0	23.7	65.8	7.9	2.6	25.2
LEEWARD C C	42	13	22	27	3	1	66
		19.7	33.3	40.9	4.5	1.5	43.7
KAUAI C C	43	1	1	3	0	0	5
		20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	0	2	1	1	0	4
		0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	1	0	0	1
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	1	5	3	0	0	9
		11.1	55.6	33.3	0.0	0.0	6.0
COLUMN TOTAL		19	48	74	8	2	151
		12.6	31.8	49.0	5.3	1.3	100.0

EVAL7 INTELLECTUAL ENVIRONMENT

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	1	7	18	1	0	27
		3.7	25.9	66.7	3.7	0.0	18.0
KAPIOLANI C C	41	0	7	27	4	0	38
		0.0	18.4	71.1	10.5	0.0	25.3
LEEWARD C C	42	11	25	28	1	1	66
		16.7	37.9	42.4	1.5	1.5	44.0
KAUAI C C	43	0	2	2	1	0	5
		0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	0	2	2	0	0	4
		0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	2.7
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	1	0	0	1
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	0	5	3	1	0	9
		0.0	55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0	6.0
COLUMN TOTAL		12	48	81	8	1	150
		8.0	32.0	54.0	5.3	0.7	100.0

EVAL8 COUNSELING SERVICES

CAMPUS	COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C	40	4	5	15	3	1	28
		14.3	17.9	53.6	10.7	3.6	18.7
KAPIOLANI C C	41	1	10	12	10	5	38
		2.6	26.3	31.6	26.3	13.2	25.3
LEEWARD C C	42	11	16	25	11	3	66
		16.7	24.2	37.9	16.7	4.5	44.0
KAUAI C C	43	0	0	5	0	0	5
		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C	44	1	2	0	0	0	3
		33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
HAWAII C C	45	0	0	0	0	1	1
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C	46	1	1	7	0	0	9
		11.1	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0	6.0
COLUMN TOTAL		18	34	64	24	10	150
		12.0	22.7	42.7	16.0	6.7	100.0

APPENDIX A-12 (CONT.)

EVAL9 FRIENDSHIP WITH OTHER STUDENTS

CAMPUS / COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C 40	4	17	8	0	0	29
	13.8	58.6	27.6	0.0	0.0	19.1
KAPIOLANI C C 41	8	15	13	1	0	37
	21.6	40.5	35.1	2.7	0.0	24.3
LEEWARD C C 42	23	19	23	1	1	67
	34.3	28.4	34.3	1.5	1.5	44.1
KAUAI C C 43	0	1	4	0	0	5
	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C 44	2	1	0	1	0	4
	50.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C 45	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C 46	4	1	4	0	0	9
	44.4	11.1	44.4	0.0	0.0	5.9
COLUMN TOTAL	41	55	52	3	1	152
	27.0	36.2	34.2	2.0	0.7	100.0

EVAL10 FACILITIES/EQUIPMENT

CAMPUS / COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C 40	0	8	15	5	1	29
	0.0	27.6	51.7	17.2	3.4	19.0
KAPIOLANI C C 41	0	9	21	7	1	38
	0.0	23.7	55.3	18.4	2.6	24.8
LEEWARD C C 42	14	20	26	7	0	67
	20.9	29.9	38.8	10.4	0.0	43.8
KAUAI C C 43	1	3	1	0	0	5
	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C 44	0	1	2	1	0	4
	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C 45	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C 46	1	1	7	0	0	9
	11.1	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0	5.9
COLUMN TOTAL	16	42	72	21	2	153
	10.5	27.5	47.1	13.7	1.3	100.0

EVAL11 TOTAL COLLEGE EXPERIENCE

CAMPUS / COUNT / ROW PCT	VERY SATISFIED 1	2	SATISFIED 3	4	NOT SATISFIED 5	ROW TOTAL
HONOLULU C C 40	6	10	13	0	0	29
	20.7	34.5	44.8	0.0	0.0	19.1
KAPIOLANI C C 41	2	11	21	2	1	37
	5.4	29.7	56.8	5.4	2.7	24.3
LEEWARD C C 42	20	23	19	4	1	67
	29.9	34.3	28.4	6.0	1.5	44.1
KAUAI C C 43	0	1	4	0	0	5
	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
MAUI C C 44	1	2	1	0	0	4
	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
HAWAII C C 45	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
WINDWARD C C 46	2	5	2	0	0	9
	22.2	55.6	22.2	0.0	0.0	5.9
COLUMN TOTAL	31	52	61	6	2	152
	20.4	34.2	40.1	3.9	1.3	100.0